

**REMARKS**

The present application contains claims 1-9 and 11-31. Claims 1 and 14 have been currently amended and claims 27-31 have been currently added.

In the office action referred to above, the Examiner indicated that claims 1 and 14 were unpatentable. However, the Examiner indicated that claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16, 21, 22, and 23 contained patentable subject matter.

In the third paragraph of the reasons for allowance, the Examiner appears to indicate that claims 2, 3, 15 and 16 were patentable since they assured that colors adjacent colors are assigned to different screen angles. Applicant notes that there does appear to be some sort of typographical error in the statement, but the intent appears to be clear.

Claims 1 and 14 have been amended to include this limitation. As such, amended claim 1 is broader than either of original claims 2 or 3 (and can be considered to be a broader version of these claims) and amended claim 14 is broader than either original claims 15 or 16 (and can be considered to be a broader version of these claims).

In addition, applicant submits that claims 2, 3, 15 and 16 have not been narrowed, since the amendment of claims 1 and 14 respectively are inherent in claims 2, 3, 15 and 16.

New claims 27-31 are independent versions of claims that were indicated by the Examiner as containing patentable subject matter.


Applicant respectfully points out that an Information Disclosure Statement has been filed together with the filing of the present application, on August 31, 2000. In the Office Action dated February 12, 2004, the Examiner returned the Form PTO-1449 without his initial. Applicant is resubmitting the PTO-1449 form and respectfully requests that the item listed thereon be initialed by the Examiner to ensure that they appear on the face of the patent issuing on the present application. Applicant assumes that the art has already been considered by the Examiner in accordance with MPEP §609.

Applicant submits that the claims are now patentable and that the application is ready for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

May 11, 2004  
William H. Dippert, Esq.  
Reed Smith LLP  
599 Lexington Avenue, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022-7650

Tel: (212) 521-5400

Respectfully submitted,  
J. STEINHAUER

  
Paul FENSTER  
Reg. #33,877